

IN THE U. S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Kazutomo HOSHINO et al.

Conf. 7028

Application No. 10/528,389

Group 1792

Filed: March 18, 2005

Examiner Viji BERNARD

Title: JIG FOR CALCINING ELECTRONIC COMPONENT

REQUEST FOR CORRECTED OFFICE ACTION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

February 7, 2008

Sir:

The Office Action mailed January 28, 2008, requires correction, because it is incomprehensible as stated.

It is stated that claims 7-17 and 20-22 are allowed, but then, some of these are rejected on page 4 as unpatentable over JP 2002-128583 in view of Sato.

Then, on page 5, claims 10, 11 and 12 are rejected as unpatentable over JP 2002-128583 in view of Burdette. However, in the next line, claims 13 and 14 are discussed but not necessarily rejected; and at the bottom of page 6, claims 15 and 16 are discussed but not necessarily rejected.

We cannot tell which claims are rejected and which claims are allowed.

A clear statement of the grounds for rejection and the claims that are believed to be allowable, is respectfully requested, with a new period for response.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG & THOMPSON



Robert J. Patch, Reg. No. 17,355
Customer No. 00466
745 South 23rd Street
Arlington, VA 22202
Telephone (703) 521-2297
Telefax (703) 685-0573
(703) 979-4709

RJP/lad